

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Tredemark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVE	NTOR	ATTORNEY DOOR
08/364,334	12/27/94	COHN	0	UK1993044
		B3M1/1105		EXAMINER
PANDREWAD.	PHLLON	me	CHOW	,0
SUITE 350	ARBORETUM PO:	ER & DILLON, LLF INT	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
9505 ARBOR	ETUM BOULEVA	RI/	2318	/ろ
AUSTIN TX		Rest.		
47. 6	· Washington	₽	DATE MAILED:	11/05/96
This is a communication f	rom the examiner in char	ge of your application.		
COMMISSIONER OF PA	TENTS AND TRADÉMAP	RKS	,	
m /	<u>ر خ</u>	/ t.m		. /
This application has b	een examined	Responsive to communication fi	and on 10 21 96	This action is made final
shortened statutory perli	od for response to this ac	tion is set to expire	_month(s), days f	
alture to respond within the	he period for response wi	III cause the application to become	me abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133	rom the date of this letter.
ert I THE FOLLOWING	ATTACHMENT(S) ARE	E PART OF THIS ACTION:		
_	ences Cited by Examiner			
	ted by Applicant, PTO-14		Notice of Draftsman's P	atent Drawing Review, PTO-948
5. Information on I	How to Effect Drawing CI	hanges, PTO-1474 6	Notice of Informal Pater	t Application, PTO-152.
ert II SUMMARY OF A	CTION			·
+ / 1.	_	. 1/2		
I. Claims	-3 / (U'=	-10	16	_ are pending in the application.
(Of the above		1. 1. 1 to 2. 35 1. St		withdrawn from consideration.
2. Claims				wishingwithout consideration.
- C yains		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· :	_ have been cancelled.
L Claims		·		are allowed.
Claims/ ~	3, 10-	18		
eli atarik si ni (j. 1) □ anima	" ef \$(.) \	PARTICIPATION OF A	HOLDING OF WAR	_ are rejected.
L Claims		The Congression of the Congression		are objected to.
. Ctaims	<u></u>		are subject to restriction	on or election requirement
This application has	s been filed with informal	drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85	which are acceptable to	4
				ination purposes.
	re required in response to		A Company of the Comp	
. L The corrected or su	distitute drawings have be	een received on	Under 37 C	.F.R. 1.84 these drawings
		explanation or Notice of Draftsm	an's Patent Drawing Review, P	TO-948).
. L The proposed additions	tional or substitute sheet((s) of drawings, filed on	has (have) been	approved by the
	sprovod by the examining	(see expranamon).		
. Lifthe proposed drawi	ng correction, filed	has been	□ approved; □ disapproved	(see explanation).
. Acknowledgement is	s made of the claim for or	dority under 35 H.C.C. 110 Th		rehad. [] not been —
D been filed in pare	ent application, serial no.	; filed on		Person CT IIDT DESU LECSIVED
Since this application	n apppears to be in cond	lition for allowance except for for	mal matters proceedies	the made to the state
accordance with the	practice under Ex parte	Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G.	. 213.	me ments is closed in
Other /				

Serial Number: 08/364334 -2-

Art Unit: 2318

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This office action is in response to the response filed October 21, 1996. ("Response B").

Claims 1-3 and 10-18 are presented for examination.

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2 and 18 have been fully considered but they

are not deemed to be persuasive. The rejections to claim 1, 2 and 18 have been reworded to more

carefully to address applicant's argument and are repeated below.

3. The rejections to claims 3 and 10-17 are maintained and repeated below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 1, 2, 12, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over

Yamazaki (Japanese Patent Application laid open 4-205852), which discloses the invention

substantially as claimed by teaching a data storage system comprising: a solid state cache memory; the

claimed storage element with moving part; a cache control system; a means to access data stored on

the storage element if a read/write request cannot be satisfied via access to the cache memory; a means

for accessing data stored within the cache if a read/write request from the computer can be satisfied

via an access to the cache memory; and a cache replacement mechanism. Yamazaki also inherently

teaches a means for designating selected data within the cache as new data in response to a write from

Serial Number: 08/364334 -3-

Art Unit: 2318

the computer which updates data within the cache because Yamazaki manages write requests, which would necessitate a means of tracking dirty blocks in order to maintain cache coherency.

As to claims 1-2: Yamazaki therefore differs from the claimed invention by not specifically teaching a cache replacement mechanism which flushes dirty entries when another disk transaction requires access to the disk itself (claim 1), for a predetermined period of time (claim 2). However, it is well known in the art that there is a certain degree of risk to data coherency associated with write caching, since a failure in the cache or the power supply to the cache or the disk drive may result in lost data. It is also well known in the art that spinning up a disk's platters takes a substantial amount of electrical energy in comparison with the energy required to maintain a already spinning disk's platters at operating speed, and both of the aforementioned activities consumes more electrical energy than a disk with stationery platters. Thus, an artisan would have to balance the competing interests of data safety with that of power conservation. Data safety is enhanced by quickly flushing dirty entries. Power consumption is enhanced by maximizing the amount of time the platters are spun down while (1) minimizing the number of times the drives are spun up and (2) minimizing the time the platters are at operational speed. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Yamazaki to have arrived at the claimed invention because writing back dirty entries for a predetermined period of time after servicing a disk I/O request which required spinning up the platters to operational speed simultaneously offers the speed advantages associated with write cache as well as the power savings of Yamazaki.

Serial Number: 08/364334 -4-

Art Unit: 2318

As to claim 12: It is noted that the storage device taught by Yamazaki is a magnetic disk drive (See, e.g., page 3 of the translation)

As to claim 18: This claim is a parallel method claim to apparatus claim 1, and is therefore rejected for the same reasons as set forth in the rejection of claim 1 above.

- Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Yamazaki as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Hanson, et al. (US Patent 4,433,374). Yamazaki teaches every feature of the instant claim except for the cache bypass feature. See rejection of claim 1, above. Hanson teaches a cache/disk subsystem with a cache bypass feature. In particular, at col. 4, lines 60 through col. 5, line 66, Hansen teaches bypassing a disk cache for disk transactions which exceed a certain threshold. Indeed Hansen teaches that "extremely long data transfers usually involve data that is not likely to be used again soon." Col. 5, lines 1-3. It therefore would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to have combined the teachings of Yamazaki and Hansen to have arrived at the claimed invention because a cache bypass feature for large data transfers would increase the cache efficiency by maintaining a high cache hit ratio, since caching large transfers have been shown to be ineffective.
- 6. Claims 10 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Yamazaki. Yamazaki teaches every element of claim 1 by using a magnetic disk drive as a data storage apparatus. See rejection of claim 1, above. Claims 10 and 14 differ from claim 1 only by specifying the use of an optical drive and MO disk drive as the storage apparatus, respectively. However, magnetic disks,

Serial Number: 08/364334 -5-

Art Unit: 2318

optical drive, and MO disk drives are all well known forms of disk based computer memories. Each of these devices comprise a rotating disk shaped media and sensors mounted on an arm assembly for reading and/or writing the data. Additionally, these devices may use the same computer interface, such as SCSI-2. In other words, these devices are analogous, and differ primarily in reading/writing methods, performance, capacity, and ability to withstand environmental stresses. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to have substituted either optical or MO drives as the storage apparatus depending upon the suitability of such devices to the intended application or the environment where the computer system will be situated.

7. Claims 11, 13, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Yamazaki as applied to claims 10, 12, and 14, respectively above, and further in view of art common knowledge. Claims 11, 13, and 15 differ from their parent claims of 10, 12, and 14 by containing the additional limitation that the claimed storage subsystem be used in either a personal computer or a portable computer. It is common knowledge that many disks, optical, or MO storage subsystems may be used in a variety of computers, ranging, for example, from engineering workstations to desktop PCs, to notebook and laptops, and sometimes even gaming consoles. The claimed storage subsystems has an advantage in it minimizes the use of electrical power, a goal which is both desirable in desktop PCs (where it reduces electrical utility costs) and especially in portable systems (where it would allow the portable system to run on batteries for a greater length of time). Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to have placed the storage subsystems claimed in claims 10, 12, and 14 into either a personal computer or a portable computer in order to optimize the use of power.

Serial Number: 08/364334 -6-

Art Unit: 2318

- Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Yamazaki as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Noya et al (US Patent 5,420,983). Yamazaki teaches every feature of claim 1. Claim 16 differs from claim 1 by having the additional limitation that the cache be non-volatile. Noya teaches a disk subsystem which uses non-volatile memory for a disk write cache. (Noya, col. 5, 30-40). In particular, Noya states that non volatile memory is used in the write cache to prevent any power failure related data corruption. While the present invention is not concerned with data corruption due to power failures, it is focused on minimizing the amount of electrical power consumed by the storage subsystem by inducing power failures in selected subsystems. If non-volatile memory were used for the cache, it would allow the storage subsystem to power down the cache after a period of inactivity without incurring any power penalty associated with having an empty cache, and thereby requiring new disk accesses to involve powering up the drive motor. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to have combined the teachings of Yamazaki and Noya to arrive at the claimed invention because using nonvolatile memory as a cache would lead to additional power savings.
- 9. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Yamazaki and Noya as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of art common knowledge. Collectively, Yamazaki and Noya teach every feature of claim 17 except for the additional limitation that the disk subsystem be used with a personal or portable computer. It is common knowledge that many disks subsystems may be used in a variety of computers, ranging, for example, from engineering workstations to desktop PCs, to notebook and laptops. The claimed storage subsystems has an advantage in it minimizes the use of electrical power, a goal which is both desirable in desktop PCs (where it reduces electrical

Serial Number: 08/364334 -7-

Art Unit: 2318

utility costs) and especially in portable systems (where it would allow the portable system to run on batteries for a greater length of time). Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to have placed the storage subsystems as claimed in claims 16 into either a personal computer or a portable computer in order to optimize the use of power.

Conclusion

10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Chow whose telephone number is (703) 308-6674. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 to 5:00.

Serial Number: 08/364334 -8-

Art Unit: 2318

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful the examiner's supervisor Tod Swann can be reached on (703) 308-7791. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-6606.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Christopher Chow Patent Examiner Group 2300

TOD R. SWANN
TOD R. SWANN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
SUPERVISORY PATENT 2300